28

1	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP BRUCE A. ERICSON #76342		
2	DAVID L. ANDERSON #149604 JACOB R. SORENSEN #209134		
3	MARC H. AXELBAUM #209855		
3	DANIEL J. RICHERT #232208 50 Fremont Street		
4	Post Office Box 7880		
5	San Francisco, CA 94120-7880 Telephone: (415) 983-1000		
	Facsimile: (415) 983-1200		
6	Email: bruce.ericson@pillsburylaw.com		
7	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP		
8	Bit ibi old it. Better boil (admitted pro line vice)		
9	DAVID L. LAWSON (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) EDWARD R. MCNICHOLAS (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)		
10	ERIC A. SHUMSKY #206164 1501 K Street, N.W.		
11	Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 736-8010		
12	Facsimile: (202) 736-8711		
13	Attorneys for AT&T Defendants		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15			
16 17	SAN FRANCISC		
		MDL Dkt. No. 06-1791-VRW	
18	In re:	IDDODOSEDI ODDED CDANTING MO	
192021	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELE- COMMUNICATIONS RECORDS LITIGA- TION	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION OF DEFENDANTS AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF SOUTHWEST, INCET AL. TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' APPLICATION TO COMPEL	
22	This Document Relates To:	Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker	
23	Clayton, et al. v. AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc., et al., No. 07-1187		
24			
25			
26			
27			

1	On April 9, 2007, defendants AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc.,	
2	SBC Long Distance, LLC, SBC Advanced Solutions, Inc., TCG St. Louis Holdings,	
3	Inc. d/b/a TCG St. Louis, Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., and TCG Kansas City,	
4	Inc. moved pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to dismiss	
5	Plaintiffs' First Amended Application to Compel Production of Documents and to Compel	
6	Witnesses to Appear and Answer Questions Upon Oral Examination (Dkt. 1-6, "Applica-	
7	tion") for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted ("Motion").	
8	On June 14, 2007, Defendants' Motion came on for hearing before the Court, Hor	
9	Vaughn R. Walker, United States District Chief Judge, presiding. Having considered De	
10	fendants' Motion, Plaintiffs' opposition thereto, Defendants' reply and the oral argument	
11	by counsel for the parties, and good cause appearing,	
12	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
13	1. Defendants' Motion is hereby GRANTED on the ground that Plaintiffs have	
14	failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted;	
15	2. Plaintiffs' Application is hereby DISMISSED with prejudice and without	
16	leave to amend.	
17	Dated:	
18	Hon. Vaughn R. Walker	
19	United States District Chief Judge	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		